

QUARTERLY REVIEW #3: Biomass developments in 2021

December 23, 2021



Photo Credit: Statkraft

By Anja Chalmin

3,000 miles of CO₂ pipelines to be built and other updates on capturing carbon using plant biomass

The second part of the report presents developments, projects and funding programmes aimed at capturing carbon using plant biomass. Among other things, two large-scale Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) projects are to be built in the USA with a total of more than 3,000 miles of CO₂ pipelines. These are encountering major public concerns. There are also several new algae projects aimed at capturing CO₂ from ambient air, primarily to use it in the production of synthetic fuels.

Plans for large-scale biomass projects in North America (BECCS, Biochar)

In 2021, Navigator CO₂ Ventures, BlackRock Global Energy & Power Infrastructure Fund and Valero Energy Corporation announced the Heartland Greenway project. The project involves the construction of a 1,300 miles pipeline network to pump captured and liquefied CO₂ from approximately 20 ethanol plants to central Illinois for underground injection. The project is to be conducted in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska and South Dakota. Each of the participating ethanol plants will install a CO₂ capture device, liquefy the captured CO₂, and receive a pipeline connection leading to the main pipeline. The project also envisions partnerships with other CO₂-producing industries, plans to capture about 15 million tonnes of CO₂ annually and is scheduled to be operational in 2025. The captured and liquefied CO₂ will be injected into the Mt. Simon sandstone formation in central Illinois. The expected cost of the BECCS project is estimated at US\$ 2 billion.

Summit Carbon Solutions' BECCS plans were also announced in 2021. Summit Carbon Solutions was founded by Summit Agricultural Group and is based in Ames, Iowa. The proposed BECCS project is called the "Midwest Carbon Express" and involves the construction of a 2,000-mile pipeline network that will pump captured and liquefied CO₂ from about 30 ethanol plants to North Dakota for underground injection. Each of the ethanol plants will install a CO₂ capture device, liquefy the captured CO₂ and receive a pipeline connection leading to the main pipeline. Summit Carbon Solutions will own the pipeline and capture equipment at all plants. In November 2021, Summit Carbon Solutions partnered with more than 30 ethanol plants in five states. The BECCS project aims to start

construction in the second quarter of 2023 and plans to be operational in 2024. This BECCS project also envisages partnerships with other CO₂-producing industries and aims to capture about 12 million tonnes of CO₂ annually. The projected costs are US\$ 4.5 billion. Exactly where the captured CO₂ will be injected underground in North Dakota has not yet been disclosed, but there has been speculation that it will be in the salt caves near Bismarck, North Dakota. In addition to North Dakota, the planned 2,000-mile pipeline network will span the following states – Iowa, Nebraska, Minnesota, and South Dakota.

On its project website, Summit Carbon Solutions describes environmental and health benefits generated by the project in the FAQ section. The project does not mention any possible risks associated with the transport and storage of CO₂. This description of the project is incomplete, because the transport and storage of CO₂ is very much associated with risks. For this reason, initiatives are being formed to provide information on the “*numerous safety hazard, environmental, and land use issues*”. For example, in Iowa the following concerns exist^[1]:

- Safety and environmental concerns, e.g., leaks in pipelines and leakage of CO₂, such as a recent leak in a pipeline in Mississippi that required the evacuation of hundreds of people and even caused some to foam at the mouth.
- After the construction of the Dakota Access Pipeline in 2016/17, there are still negative impacts on agricultural yields and farmland because the construction of the pipeline destroyed the natural soil structure. There are fears that these problems will be repeated when the new pipelines are built. Summit Carbon Solutions has announced compensation for any soil damage, but only for the first three years, but the impact on the affected soil is likely to last longer.
- Since the construction of the Dakota Access Pipeline, which also runs through Iowa, the land along the pipeline has lost value.
- There are fears that farmers and landowners who do not agree to the construction of the pipeline will be expropriated. According to Summit Carbon Solutions, there is the possibility of resorting to expropriation procedures in some places.
- During the construction phase, several thousand jobs will be created for a short period of time. In the long term, however, only a three-digit number of workers will benefit from the entire project, i.e., there will be no economic advantages for the region. By contrast, the project itself would benefit from extensive federal tax credits, known as Q45: Q45 would pay US\$ 50 per tonne for captured carbon that is permanently “stored”.

There is also criticism of the participation processes, as Summit Carbon Solutions is obliged to hold information meetings in each affected region. Some of these took place during daytime hours, when it is difficult for working people to attend meetings, or during the main agricultural harvesting season.

Summit Carbon Solutions is trying to market its BECCS project positively, e.g., pointing to the 12 million tonnes of CO₂ that will be captured and “stored”. But there are critical voices here too, as the processes of capturing, transporting and storing CO₂, as well as building the infrastructure, cause CO₂ emissions. There are also critical reports about health risks associated with the transport of CO₂. Furthermore, long-term underground storage of captured CO₂ cannot be guaranteed, e.g., due to faulty construction, earthquakes or other underground movements.

There are similar discussions and concerns over the Heartland Greenway Project.

A biomass project in the UK, the Drax power station, also has implications for North America. The power plant converted four of its six units from burning coal to burning wood pellets, with about 80 % of the wood pellets coming from the US and Canada.

To manufacture these pellets, Drax invested in new biomass pellet plants in North America in 2021, bringing the total number of Drax biomass pellet plants in North America to 17. In the same year, a strategic partnership was formed with Bechtel to explore options and locations for the construction of new BECCS plants worldwide, including North America and Western Europe. For biomass use, Drax has received large sums of direct and indirect government subsidies for years, e.g., more than £1,000 in 2020.

This year, the European Academies Science Advisory Council commented on the use of woody biomass for energy production as follows: “*Such technology is not effective in mitigating climate change and may even increase the risk of dangerous climate change*”. Current publications underline this opinion:

- the cultivation of bioenergy crops requires nutrients, which cause additional costs and greenhouse gas emissions
- BECCS can double both the global area and the population living under severe water stress compared to today
- Large-scale deployment of BECCS would come with large-scale adverse impacts on the climate, ecosystems and biodiversity, as well as profoundly negative social effects.

The San Francisco based Charm Industrial aims to capture and store CO₂ by converting biomass into biochar and oil in a pyrolysis process (~500°C, without oxygen) and by injecting the pyrolysis oil into geological reservoirs. Since late 2020, Charm Industrial has been injecting pyrolysis oil into geological formations, probably into a well in the Permian Basin. Exact information about the location and extent of the injections has not yet been disclosed. There are also no studies on the environmental footprint of the entire process, including pyrolysis, the origin, growth and handling of the biomass, as well as the handling of the pyrolysis oil such as transport and injection into geological reservoirs. There are also no studies on the long-term behaviour of the pyrolysis oil after injection into geological sites. In October 2021, Charm Industrial notified further “CO₂ deliveries”, including to Microsoft, Stripe and Shopify.

Increased funding for algae-based CO₂-capture

Algae-based geoengineering projects aim to cultivate (micro-)algae to consume and “capture” CO₂. The harvested algae are then used as a feedstock for the production of products such as biofuels. The problem with this geoengineering approach is that the CO₂ temporarily captured by the algae is released back into the atmosphere as soon as the biofuel is consumed. Therefore, this approach cannot store CO₂ permanently. Furthermore, the cultivation of the algae and the subsequent processing of the biomass produced consumes energy and can generate additional CO₂.

The US-DOE has been funding algae-based CO₂ capture projects since 2020. In August 2021, the Department allocated new funding to study the combination of algae and CO₂ captured directly from the air under its ‘*Algae Productivity Exceeding Expectations*’ (APEX) program. APEX aims to increase the productivity of algae per cultivation area, as a feedstock for the production of biofuels, chemicals, food, and feed. Here is a selection of the algae projects funded by the US-DOE:

- The project “*Direct Air Capture Integration with Algae Carbon Biocatalysis*”, conducted at the Arizona State University in Tempe, Arizona, and funded with US\$ 3.2 million, aims to demonstrate the combination of outdoor algae cultivation with a direct air capture (DAC) technology.
- The project “*Minimizing Organic Carbon Losses to Improve Net Productivity in Direct Air Capture Cultivation*”, conducted at the University of Toledo, Ohio, and funded with US\$ 3.2 million, aims to trial a mixed algal and bacterial community in combination with DAC technology. This combination is expected to increase biomass yields and render the biomass composition more suitable for biofuel production.
- The project “*Enhanced Algae Productivity in CO₂ Direct Air Capture Cultivation*”, conducted at the Global Algae Innovations Inc. production site in Lihue, Hawaii, and funded with US\$ 3.2 million, aims to produce algae-based biofuels and protein meal. In 2021, Global Algae Innovations Inc received an additional US\$ 4 million in funding from the US-DOE to increase production at its algae production operation in Shandon, California. The Shandon site is an algae cultivation facility with ~65 hectares of algae production area for the production of high oil content algae strains. The facility is expected to produce 0.05 million litres of biofuel per year. A new cultivation and harvesting system will be installed – the new technology is expected to have a cost-reducing effect on the biofuel price, which shall be demonstrated within a year.

In November 2021, ExxonMobil and Viridos (formerly Synthetic Genomics Inc.) also announced plans to combine DAC with microalgae production. The two companies have been jointly working on the research and development of algae-based biofuels since 2009. For this purpose, thousands of different algae strains were collected and those with high lipid content and high growth rate were identified. In a next step, Viridos modified the selected algae strains, using gene-editing technologies such as CRISPR. ExxonMobil and Viridos announced their goal of producing 10,000 barrels of algae-based biofuel per day by 2025.

In October 2021, the French building materials manufacturer Vicat announced plans to use captured CO₂ and waste heat to produce microalgae in a photobioreactor. The project will be conducted in collaboration with AlgoSource Technologies, TotalEnergie and the University of Nantes. A demonstration-scale project is being carried out at

Vicat's Montalieu-Vercieu cement plant, where microalgae are grown in a photobioreactor. The project is financially supported by the French Environment and Energy Management Agency. Once the algae is harvested and consumed, e.g., as a food supplement, the CO₂ absorbed by the algae is released back into the atmosphere – in addition to the CO₂ produced during the manufacturing process, resulting in a net increase in carbon emissions.

The joint venture CarbonWorks, based in France, was founded this year by the French companies Suez and Fermentalg and follows a similar approach. Suez operates an agricultural methanation plant at its Pot-Au-Pin Énergie site in Cestas, where the CO₂ produced will be captured. A photobioreactor with a capacity of 10 m³ was installed on the roof of the plant, in which algae are to use the captured CO₂. In the long term, CarbonWorks aims to grow microalgae on an industrial scale to produce algae-based active ingredients for agriculture, food and animal feed.

All algae projects have in common that they do not clarify how much energy is needed for CO₂ capture and algae production. CO₂ capture processes, e.g., DAC, are known for their high energy consumption. Microalgae production systems also require a lot of energy, e.g., because the water has to be kept constantly moving so that the algae do not sink and stick together. Moreover, algae not only need sun and CO₂, but also many nutrients, the production of which is also often very energy-intensive, as is the case with the macronutrient nitrogen. This geoengineering approach also produces consumer goods that are consumed after a short time and thus release the “stored” CO₂ back into the atmosphere. It is likely that the production process will even generate additional CO₂, which means missing the goal of reducing CO₂ emissions and actually increasing the total carbon released over the lifecycle of the goods.